

# Live Road Assessment (LiRA)

AFD20 – TRB 2020

PhD, Matteo Pettinari Principal Investigator Special Consultant at DRD <u>map@vd.dk</u>

Asmus Skar Work Package Leader Assistant Professor at DTU <u>asska@byq.dtu.dk</u>



# Background



**Standard road measures** have been developed to assess road conditions and optimize maintenance strategies focusing on (DRD costs 5 million DKK per year – do not include emissions):

- Safety
- Comfort
- Durability
- Environmental Emissions (noise and CO<sub>2</sub>)

### Limitations

1) Costs

4)

5)

- 2) Weather
- 3) Road Geometry
  - Not always objective
  - Frequency

between 1 to 3 years

# **Project idea**<sub>1/2</sub>



Are there alternative way to monitor maintain and manage the roads?



Modern cars are equipped with many sensors which also provide additional valuable data (e.g. energy consumption).

# Can car sensors data be used to measure road conditions?



## **Project idea**<sub>2/2</sub>



### What do we need?

CAR SENSING platform

STANDARD road measures

Data processing and Software engineering

Management system

- *1) Connected fleet of vehicles*
- 2) Modern vehicles
- *3)* Access to CAN bus data
- *4) Customized Additional hardware*
- 1) Roughness & Rutting
- 2) Cracking and potholes
- 3) Friction
- 4) Noise and RR
- 1) BYG (Physical models)
- 2) Compute (software engineering)
- *3) Compute (machine learning)*
- 1) Implement live road measures
- *2) Maintenance strategies*







# Live Road Assessment (LiRA)<sub>1/2</sub>



**MOTIVATION:** 

→ harness the technological development in the car industry – give value to new available data

#### **OBJECTIVE:**

 $\rightarrow$  performing road condition surveys using data collected by a connected fleet of vehicles





## Live Road Assessment (LiRA)<sub>2/2</sub>





**CHALLANGES:** Hardware customization, software customization, Database: data flow and data processing

### **Project plan and organization**





### Hardware customization



The hardware has an embedded Raspberry Pi:

- runs a full Linux operating system,
- alongside with demanding applications.



new possibilities provided by AutoPi.io (https://www.autopi.io/).

O AutoPi Dongle

The flexibility offered by this system enable LiRA team to:

- CUSTOMIZE the connected SENSORS (possible new tasks, considering to add gyro and microphone on a prototype version);
- CUSTOMIZE the DATA PROCESSING (new tasks – tweaking software to the LiRA needs);
- 3. Can rise the frequency of acquisition of ACCELEROMETER UP TO 800 Hz

## Software customization



- A total of 373 sensors available.

- Existing library (open source) for the Renault Zoe utilized.

Raw data stream from AutoPi / GM car	٦		4	5		Aut	oPi /	CanZE	library		Differe	nt Frequenci	es
1F8#0204FFEFFE00000D		ID (hex)	startBit	endBit	resolution	offset	decimals	unit	options (he)	s see MainActivity for definit	- 5 H		
5DE#000000001000040		0c6	0	15	1	32768	1	•	ff	Steering Position	- 0.5	i Hz	
17A#FEEEE6400E03143		0c6	16	31	1	32768	1	°/s	ff	Steering Acceleration	- 0.0	)5 Hz	
		0c6	32	47	1	32768	1	0	ff	SteeringWheelAngle_Offse	- Ac	cel up to 800	Hz /
42E#4E3FD0DC6405C039	$\backslash$	12e	0	7	1	198	0		ff	LongitudinalAccelerationPro	DC JC		
17E#FFFFFF00FF4000FF		12e	8	23	1	32768	0		ff	TransversalAcceleration			
		12e	24	35	0.1	2047	1	deg/s	ff	Yaw rate			
130#00486FFE009FFEAD		130	20	31	1	4094	0	Nm	ff	ElecBrakeWheelsTorqueRe	quest		
186#00003203200020		130	44	55	-3	4094	0	Nm	ff	DriverBrakeWheelTq Req			
12E#C77FFC7FD0FFFF00	1	17a	48	63	0.5	12800	1	Nm	ff	Estimated Wheel Torque			
		186	0	15	0.125	0	2	rpm	ff	Engine RPM			
242#0200FFEFFE000C		186	16	27	0.5	800	1	Nm	ff	MeanEffectiveTorque			

**GM** car data





**GM** cloud database



LiRA data warehouse

Currently 56 relevant

sensors are used

# **Database: Data flow and processing**



How do we manage and structure a different road data flow?

TODAY – DRD measures a road (e.g., once per year) and then data goes into the database

#### **FUTURE or LIRA situation**



Everyday new data come into the database

It could be 1 – 10 or 100 cars per day

HOW DO WE ASSEMBLE DATA?





Pavement management system

## DRD – measurement plan



			Road condition database
Section Type	Number or Direction	Length	(DRD)
Trial 1 – DTU*	1 loop	4 km	Identification of reference road sections Data collection with standard methods
Trial 2 – M13**	2 – North and South	22 km	
Motorways and rings	7	179.6 km	
Copenhagen	More than 100	More then 140.0	) km

- ARAN9000
- Friction
- Noise
- P79





#### 12

### Car sensors

### Renault ZOE (in-vehicle):

- Yaw rate (°/s), speed (km/h), odometer (km), temperature (°C), tire pressure (mbar), energy consumption (kW), safety belt, wipers..
- Sampling rate 0.05-0.5 Hz AutoPi (external)
- 3D accelerometer
- Sampling rate 50 Hz

### Mobile Phones (external)

- 3D accelerometer and gyroscope
- Sampling rate 150 Hz

GoPro (external)

- 3D accelerometer and gyroscope
- Sampling rate 200 Hz





### Validation of car data





### **Standard devices**



#### **ARAN9000 – LCMS 2.0**

- Structural distress: Potholes, Ravelling (disintegration), Cracks (length, width and depth), Bleeding
- Serviceability: Roughness (IRI), Mean Profile Depth (surface macro texture), Rutting, Bleeding
- 10 m sub-sections

#### **P79**

- 3D road profile (m) / sampling rate ~1000 Hz
- Rutting and Mean Profile Depth / 10 m subsections

#### СРХ

- Noise measurements (dB) / 10 m sub-sections
  FRIKV
- Friction measurements (slip in %) / 5 m subsections



### Cracks and Raveling (M13)







### Profile, IRI and MPD (M13)





### Accelerations, IRI and MPD (M13)





### **Energy consumption (M13)**





18

### **Data Pipeline Interface**





## **Pre-Processing: Map Matching**



- Map-matching is the process of aligning a sequence of observed user positions with the **road** network on a digital map.
- Hidden Markov Model Map matching
  (Paul Newson and John Krumm, 2009)



- from Open Source Routing Machine(OSRM)
- It matches/snaps given GPS points to the road network







# **Physical modelling**<sub>1/2</sub>



The idea of implementing physical modelling in the project is twofold:

- a) Road event classification based on physical models
- b) Road event classification based on hybrid machine learning models



Related research questions to b):

- To what level of detail can we classify and describe single road events
- Can single events be recognized when combining these events?
- What is the influence of noise in data (realistic scenario)?
- Can a physical models help improve efficiency or accuracy?

# Physical modelling<sub>2/2</sub>



Fa Ir

- A quarter-car model is selected.
- The model includes the major dynamic effects.
- Input to this system is the road profile  $z_0$  and • vehicle velocity V.



 $m_s$ 

# **Machine learning**





### Success criteria

- 1. **Operative road assessment system** based on the sensors in a homogenous car fleet;
- 2. LiRA map Demo (like VEJMAN but LIVE);
- 3. Reliable algorithms and models used to calculate road measures from car sensors data. 6 road measures of the 10 listed above should have an accuracy higher than 80%;
- 4. Hardware Configuration and **Set-up implementable on other cars** supported by validated calibration procedure;
- 5. Guidelines to develop a Live Road Assessment system.
- 6. Publications on national and international journals.



Friction
 Cracking density
 Potholes
 Noise
 Noise
 IRI
 Energy
 Expenditure
 Patched area
 Unevenness
 Rutting depth
 Texture depth